

Pergamon

Tetrahedron Letters, Vol. 35, No. 47, pp. 8759-8760, 1994 Elsevier Science Ltd Printed in Great Britain 0040-4039/94 \$7.00+0.00

0040-4039(94)01922-3

Synthesis of Bromoxone

Elisabeth C. L. Gautier,^a Norman J. Lewis,^b Alexander McKillop,^{a+} and Richard J. K. Taylor^{a,#,#},^{*}

^aSchool of Chemical Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7IJ, U.K.

^bSmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals, Old Powder Mills, Leigh, Tonbridge TN11 9AN, U. K.

Abstract: A short synthetic route to the natural product bromoxone is reported (5 steps, 15% overall yield), involving regioselective monoepoxidation of an electrolytically-derived quinone monoketal followed by stereoselective ketone reduction.

Bromoxone (1), and its acctylated derivative (2), were isolated in 1987 from a newly discovered species of acorn worm belonging to the genus *Ptychodera* found in deep underwater caves on the island of Maui.¹ The structure of (2) was confirmed by X-ray crystallography and (1) was converted into (2) by acetylation. Compound (2) was shown to possess antitumour properties.¹ Compounds (1) and (2) belong to a rapidly increasing group of bioactive epoxyquinol natural products which includes chaloxone (3),² the antibiotic MM14201 (4)³ and the antibiotic LL-C10037 α (5),⁴ which was shown to be the *N*-acetylated enantiomer of (4) during biosynthetic studies.⁵ 2-Alkyl-substituted analogues such as epoxydon⁶ are also known and there is a large family of complex 4-substituted epoxyquinols which includes the colabomycin/manumycin families of antibiotics⁷ and the diepoxycyclohexanone aranorosin.⁸



In an ongoing programme in this area we have recently completed the synthesis of (-)-aranorosin⁹ and are now investigating synthetic routes to colabomycin, manumycin and related compounds. In this *Letter* we report the viability of one of these synthetic approaches by carrying out the first synthesis of bromoxone (1) in racemic form (Scheme).¹⁰ Thus bromoquinone monoketal (9) was readily prepared from (8) by anodic oxidation followed by selective ketal monohydrolysis.¹¹ Efficient monoepoxidation of (9) proved to be extremely difficult. The best yield of (10) from alkaline hydrogen peroxide systems was 21% using NaOH/MeOH, with the methanol adduct (11) being formed as a by-product in 33% yield. The use of tert-butyl hydroperoxide/base in THF at low temperature gave better yields, the best being 43-46% with KOBu^t or KH as base (see Scheme). With BuLi as base¹² the reaction was much slower and the best yield obtained was 33%. Hydride reduction of

Present address: Department of Chemistry, University of York, York YO1 SDD

(10) showed a remarkable stereochemical dependency on the choice of base and solvent (Scheme). The synhydroxyepoxide (13) predominated using NaBH4 (methanol), L-selectride (THF) and DibalH (dichloromethane) but the stereoselectivity was low. Remarkably, the use of DibalH in THF gave a 9:1 predominance of the required *anti*-isomer (12). Compounds (12) and (13) could be separated by repeated recrystallisation but the mixture was usually employed in the deprotection step. This proved difficult, but success was achieved with montmorillonite K10 clay. Bromoxone (1) was separated from its epimer (14) by florosil chromatography in 53% yield over the final two steps (decomposition occurred on silica or alumina). Epibromoxone (14) was obtained in up to 36% yield in a similar manner using in the deprotection step the 33:67 mixture of (12) and (13) obtained from L-selectride reduction of (10). We are currently extending this methodology to more complex members of this natural product family.





Acknowledgements: We are grateful to SmithKline Beecham for financial support for E. C. L. G.

References and Notes

- 1. Higa, T.; Okuda, R. K.; Severns, R. M.; Scheuer, P. J.; He, C.-H.; Changfu, X.; Clardy, J. Tetrahedron, 1987, 43, 1063.
- 2. Fex, T.; Trofast, J.; Wickberg, B. Acta Chem. Scand. B, 1981, 35, 91.
- 3. Box, S. J.; Gilpin, M. L.; Gwynn, M.; Hanscomb, G.; Spear, S. R.; Brown, A. G. J. Antibiot., 1983, 36, 1631.
- Lee, M. D.; Fantini, A. A.; Morton, G. O.; James, J. C.; Borders, D. B.; Testa, R. T. J. Antibiot., 1984, 37, 1149; Wipf, P.; Kim, Y. J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 3518.
- 5. Gould, S. J.; Shen, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 684 and references therein.
- 6. Closse, A.; Mauli, R.; Sigg, H. P. Helv. Chim. Acta, 1966, 49, 204.
- 7. Sattler, I.; Gröne, C.; Zeeck, A. J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 6583 and references therein.
- Fehlhaber, H. W.; Kogler, H.; Mukhopadhyay, T.; Vijayakumar, E. K. S.; Ganguli, B. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 8242 and references therein.
- 9. McKillop, A.; McLaren, L.; Taylor, R. J. K.; Watson, R. J.; Lewis, N. Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 5519.
- 10. All new compounds gave spectral and analytical/mass spectrometric data fully consistent with the assigned structures.
- Henton, D. R.; McCreery, R. L.; Swenton, J. S. J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 369; Henton, D. R.; Anderson, K.; Manning, M. J.; Swenton, J. S. J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 3422; Gautier, E. C. L.; Lewis, N. J.; McKillop, A.; Taylor, R. J. K. Synth. Commun., In press.
- 12. Meth-Cohn, O.; Moore, C.; Taljaard, H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1988, 2663.

(Received in USA 14 September 1994; accepted 26 September 1994)